Pratidhwani the Echo
A Peer-Reviewed International Journal of Humanities & Social Science
ISSN: 2278-5264 (Online) 2321-9319 (Print)
Impact Factor: 6.28 (Index Copernicus International)
Volume-XIV, Issue-I, October 2025, Page No. 39- 51
Published by Dept. of Bengali, Karimganj College, Sribhumi, Assam, India
Website: http://www.thecho.in
DOI: 10.64031/pratidhwanitheecho.vol.14.issue.01W.029

Beyond Boundaries: Posthumanist Perspectives on Human-Nonhuman Entanglements in Select Works of South Asian Women Writers

Dr. Jasmine A. Choudhury, *Assistant Professor, Department of English, The Assam Royal Global University, Guwahati, Assam, India*

Received: 03.10.2025; Accepted: 22.10.2025; Available online: 31.10.2025

Abstract

This study explores the intersection of posthumanist thought and human-nonhuman entanglements in the works of select South Asian women writers. Posthumanism, as stated by Ihab Hassan, N. Katherine Hayles, Cary Wolfe, and Donna Haraway, raises questions about anthropocentric models of existence, which look at animals, ecosystems, and technologies to theorise human identity, memory, and social experience. Taking the fiction of Kiran Desai, Arundhati Roy, Bapsi Sidhwa, and Kamila Shamsie as case studies, this paper will contend that these authors complicate and foreclose binaries between human and nonhuman existence. By recognising the material and affective lives of animals, topographies, and ecologies, the writers present a more expansive relational ontology. Their narratives perform the decentring of the human subject, along the lines of Hayles' distributed cognition and Wolfe's call for increased ethical sensitivity in a world filled with nonhumans.

The authors place environmental degradation along with colonial violence, caste oppression, and gendered suffering and reveal how social and ecological injustice intersect. Haraway's idea of "staying with the trouble" gives us a working description of how these texts speak to problematic histories of extraction and survival. Ultimately, this paper argues that South Asian women's literature contributes critically to global posthumanist theory because theoretical ideas are informed by local histories of place, power, and survival.

Keywords: anthropocentric, posthuman, nonhuman, entanglement, ecology

Introduction:

The concept of posthumanism, or post humanist understandings of human-nonhuman entanglements, seeks to deconstruct rigid hierarchies between human and nonhuman bodies, whether animals, plants, machines, or others. Posthumanism decentres one-sided anthropocentrism and seeks to develop more entangled, relational understandings of being. Posthumanism asserts that human, nonhuman, and world are not distinct entities, but are complexly, recursively intertwined. This direction of enquiry creates a space for new and exciting ideas about identity, gender, and agency. Considering South Asian women writers creates an exciting and rich area of criticism and scholarship as many of these writer's grapple with post-colonial histories, and new issues related to gender, queerness, and

ecology. Much of the writing centred on these themes show significant fascination with the entanglements between humans and nonhumans, often revealed through cultural, historical, and spiritual lenses. This study therefore explores posthumanism through the literary analysis of South Asian women writers like Kiran Desai, Arundhati Roy, Bapsi Sidhwa, and Kamila Shamsie, through the theoretical paradigm of posthumanist theory.

Drawing from the works of posthumanist scholars like Ihab Hassan, Cary Wolfe, Donna Haraway, and N. Katherine Hayles, this study will explore means of destabilising anthropocentric and hierarchical oppositions—self/other, human/nonhuman, nature/culture—within colonialism, caste, and ecological collapse. While being aware of concerns around ecological distress, animal agency, and ecological justice, this paper gives attention to the human and nonhuman entanglements and the agency of the nonhuman in constituting human experience. The works studied in this research deconstruct rigid structures of identity and power, offer frameworks for relational expansiveness in the spirit of "staying with the trouble" (Haraway, 2016) in the Anthropocene. By situating literature within broader philosophical and ecological conversation, this study highlights the ways literature can re-think environmental ethics and multispecies justice, and which can provide postcolonial/posthuman futures.

Posthumanist theory has been an important, methodological resource that allows us to rethink identity, agency, and ethics beyond anthropocentric and humanist understandings. Neil Badmington, in *Theorizing Posthumanism*, cautions against proclaiming the end of Humanism, since Humanism is still a value-laden condition in posthumanist theory. Massimo Lollini is also critical of reductionist accounts of Humanism, advocating for a new, ethics-based humanism to act within the identity and technological challenges presented today. Lars Schmeink, in his analysis of Atwood's *MaddAddam* trilogy and Bacigalupi's *The Windup Girl*, explores how speculative fiction critiques ecological collapse and capitalist excess, depicting posthuman futures marked by blurred species boundaries and interdependence. Building on this, Sayers, Martin, and Bell set out a posthuman business ethics of vulnerability, relationality, and egalitarianism rooted in zoe, drawing once more on Atwood to disrupt traditional hierarchies. Hubert Zapf formulates ecohumanism as a middle path between ecocentrism and anthropocentrism and calls for a relational ontology attuned to both scientific knowledge and cultural situatedness. These considerations illustrate posthumanism's broad ethical and ecological brief, but remain very much western philosophy-based.

Though theoretically dense, posthumanist scholarship has been fairly poor at engaging with non-Western literature. Rosi Braidotti (2013) seeks a world vision, but much posthumanist scholarship continues to neglect the Global South, specifically South Asian women's writing. This niche was filled by Rob Nixon's "slow violence," which connected ecological harm to colonial and sociopolitical histories of exclusion by the devastation of the environment occurs incrementally in postcolonial worlds.

Patriarchy, gender-based violence, caste discrimination, displacement and environmental degradation have been pertinent themes for the South Asian women writers taken up for this study, namely, Arundhati Roy, Kiran Desai, Bapsi Sidhwa, and Kamila Shamsie. But their invocations of nonhuman agency and ecological interdependencies remain as yet uncharted in posthumanist theory. For instance, although Roy's *The God of Small Things* has been examined for its social critique in detail, nature as an agent that can

think/act has received considerably less scholarly attention. Similarly, Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* is also engaged politically with the environment, as well as the lives of animals, about various human-nonhuman relations, and fragmented postcolonial identities. While postcolonialism and ecocriticism have been grouped together in studies by critics such as Upamanyu Pablo Mukherjee, Huggan & Tiffin, and others, extension of this combination to posthumanism has been limited.

This research attempts to fill this gap by examining the ways in which women writers from South Asia complicate human exceptionalism and articulate interrelated structures of domination—colonial, patriarchal, environmental, and caste-based—through the perspective of posthumanism. By clubbing together post humanist theory, feminist literary theory, and postcolonial ecocriticism into a single frame, this research proposes an intersectional framework to demonstrate how literature from the Global South contributes to the restructuring of notions of identity, ethics, and justice in worlds embedded in human-nonhuman entanglements. Although Western speculative fiction and philosophy have applied posthumanism extensively, post humanist concepts in literatures from postcolonial intersections of colonialism, caste dominance, patriarchy, and environmental collapse have been underexamined. In their intricate fictions of relationality, loss, survival, and resistance, South Asian women writers Desai, Roy, Sidhwa, and Shamsie rewrite the connect between human and nonhuman. Their writing centres on nature and nonhuman animals as active powers constituting and representing human experience, memory, and identity rather than using them as symbolic or passive background. This research argues that the authors present the connection between human and nonhuman, and their writing intervenes in hierarchical and anthropocentric norms that have long dominated literary, cultural, and philosophical constructions of identity, power, and agency. It considers how post humanist thinking helps challenge systems of domination like colonialism, caste systems, and gender-based violence, while simultaneously making other ethical and political possibilities visible. This paper seeks to place the literary texts in the larger frameworks of posthumanism, postcolonialism, and feminist theories, showing how their interactions with the posthuman expand western conceptual horizons.

At the heart of this investigation is the assertion that Desai, Roy, Sidhwa, and Shamsie are engaged in thinking of the nonhuman world—not as a static background—but as an active and engaged presence in the formation of subjectivity and history. These authors confront ecological degradation and multispecies precarity not only as environmental conditions, but as essential to the narrative of displacement, trauma, and survival. By doing so, they reference culturally specific notions of relationality that react against universalising norms of mainstream posthumanism. They propose an ethical thinking around interdependence, interconnectedness, ecological justice, and responsiveness to Global South lifeworlds and reality. This study thus adds to a posthumanism that is more diverse and intersectional by placing the voices, experiences, and storytelling practices of South Asian women writers at the forefront.

The research draws inspiration from an impressive list of post humanist thinkers—Hassan, Haraway, N. Hayles, Wolfe, and Braidotti—to discuss the implications of South Asian women writers' efforts toward and engagement in the posthumanism debate through the literary figuration of human-nonhuman assemblages. Hassan, in his seminal essay from 1977, did not see posthumanism as a celestial disturbance; rather, he thought of it as a

"mutation of the times," produced by the cross-fertilisation of imagination, science, myth, and technology (Hassan 835). The transition to post humanist culture, according to him, suggests a changing notion of human nature and a growing acceptance of artificial intelligence as a real— rather than hypothesised— presence. His earlier reflections foreshadow philosophical reorientations that dismantle rigid distinctions of the human.

In "A Cyborg Manifesto" (1985), Haraway destabilises Western nature/culture and human/animal dualisms by introducing into play the cyborg as a model for a hybrid, border-crossing self. It is something she elaborates on throughout *When Species Meet* and *Staying with the Trouble,* in defence of interspecies kinship and relational ontologies. Using the concepts of "companion species," "becoming-with," and "sympoiesis," she creates ethical possibilities of being in relation and co-emerging in mutuality, vulnerability, and anthropological critique. Repositioning away from feminist, queer, Indigenous, and ecofeminist theory, she re-presents the Chthulucene as a multispecies era based in interdependence, not the Anthropocene. Her conceptual frame of speculative fabulation—storytelling that does imaginative work—is bringing literary criticism necessary tools, particularly in fiction that remakes ecological and ethical relationships.

In *How We Became Posthuman* (1999), Hayles explains how the development of cybernetics and systems theory has modified the understanding of the body and subjectivity. She critiques disembodied notions of transhumanism, and alternatively encourages a material-informational view of embodiment that encompasses biological and technological systems. Hayles reconceives the human as a complex of cognition with selves, animals, machines, and environments involved, suggesting that agency and performance occurs not as an independent individuality but in assembled dependence. Her insistence on hybridity and relational subjectivity aligns with postcolonial feminist theory against universalised humanism, while her work becomes particularly relevant to South Asian literature that deals with migration, ecological collapse, and techno-capitalism.

Wolfe, in *What Is Posthumanism?* (2010), disassembles the liberal humanist myth of the rational, autonomous subject in interdisciplinary uptake of systems theory, deconstruction, and animal studies. He makes central the ethical imperative to recognise animals, ecosystems, and machines as ends in themselves. Drawing on Derrida and Luhmann, Wolfe reveals how institutional discourses construct exclusionary boundaries and calls for an ethics of "vigilance, responsibility, and humility" in regard to the nonhuman world (Wolfe 47). His work is particularly pertinent to literature that interrogates the structural intersection of speciesism, capitalism, and environmental injustice—the scope of concern of South Asian women writers.

In *The Posthuman* (2013), Braidotti advances a politically committed reading of posthuman subjectivity in the context of global capitalism, digital technology, and ecological exposure. She distinguishes between bios (socially recognised life) and zoe (bare, naked life) and promotes an egalitarianism based upon zoe which recognises all forms of life, human and nonhuman. Drawing on Deleuzian and feminist materialist thought, Braidotti presents subjectivity as affective, relational, and fluid—constantly 'becoming' rather than fixed. Her theory of nomadic ethics denies nihilism and promotes sustainable, non-anthropocentric futures based on interconnection and collective vulnerability. This focus will certainly deepen the understanding of and appreciation for the works in this research that interrogate interspecies kinship, eco-vandalism, and decolonial ecologies.

Together, these theorists provide a disciplined vocabulary for reading the manner in which South Asian women writers decentre human exceptionalism and reboot ethics and politics amongst multispecies, postcolonial, ecologically ravaged worlds. Their work makes possible a reading of texts whereby worlds, animals, and technological networks are not silenced backgrounds but co-constitutive forces that splinter and reorganise human subjectivity, history, and war. This transdisciplinary work enables a rich reading of posthumanism as it is redrawn along non-Western, feminist, and ecocritical lines. Posthumanism in the works of the selected authors differs from conventional humanist worldview and opens up new paths to conceptualizing agency, subjectivity, and ethics within a posthuman reality where human beings are not the sole significant actors.

Kiran Desai: Landscapes of Loss and Nonhuman Presence:

The Inheritance of Loss (2006), a complex tale about the effects of globalisation, postcolonialism, human movement, and environmental destruction, holds a narrative that includes fractured selves and multispecies relationships, resulting in a story that shakes enlightenment thinking about self-contained human subjectivity. The characters' selves are fragmented by colonial histories, dispossession, and the fugitive powers of transnational capital, especially for Sai, Judge Jemubhai Patel, and Biju. The absence of traditional anchor points, like nation, home, or cultural belonging brings forth a broader post humanist understanding of identity as something unstable, fluid, and relational. The inherited dislocation of Sai, and the internalised colonialism of Judge foreground the ways that self is shaped by powers beyond the individual—a theme of concern for post humanist engagement with fixed human identity (Hayles xi; Braidotti 13–14).

Desai's use of landscape and nonhuman life as narrative agents develops this critique further. As it is set in the northeastern Himalayas, the novel does not centre nature as a fixed set, but as a companion co-actor in the affective and psychological trajectories of its characters. The environment itself—jungle overflowing, hillside blanketed in mist, barren colonial bungalows—bore witness to human deterioration and disaffiliation. Desai underscores the ecological degradation that is brought about by political conflict and colonial exploitation, and links the ecological devastation to psychic disintegration. Such descriptions are replicated in Haraway's "becoming with," where the human and nonhuman existences are co-constituted by each other in interdependence and vulnerability (Haraway 2016).

Underlining this multispecies entanglement is the presence of animals, particularly the dog Mutt. Mutt's suffering, abandonment, and vanishing at the conclusion reflect the vulnerability of nonhuman life as well as the ethical limitations of human mastery. Referred to as "dog more human than dog" (Desai 35), Mutt represents the blurring of boundaries between species, while in another instance, it "turned into a primitive life form, an amoebic creature, slithering about the floor" during the rains (102). These interesting shifts between anthropomorphism and devolution showase the instability of species categories, mapping Haraway's cyborg logic and Braidotti's emphasis on zoe—life that exceeds human categorisation (Braidotti 60).

Desai frequently uses bestial imagery to deconstruct the human-animal opposition. The Judge's uncle is described as a "wizened bird man" (163), Gyan's gaze as a "mouse" (113), and even the Judge's penis becomes "a simple blind sea creature" (41), suggesting that the very human form is not immune to animality. These are moments of permeability between

species that flips the anthropocentric error of human supremacy upside down, in line with Wolfe's call for "vigilance, responsibility, and humility" in the presence of nonhuman subjects (Wolfe 47).

The text also highlights ecological interconnectedness via the striking image of a library revealed to contain books decomposing into insect life: "The Gymkhana library was a dim morguelike room… shedding glue-like chitinous bits of insect. Their pages…bored by termites into what looked like maps of plumbing... moth wings at the brink of eternity and dust" (Desai 190). Human knowledge and cultural memory rot here into the biosphere, symbolizing the fragile continuity between human culture and the nonhuman environment. Such imagery supports Hayles' (1999) rejection of mind/body or human/machine dualisms in favour of a material-informational model of embodiment within larger ecological systems.

Globalisation, among the novel's great concerns, similarly facilitates post humanist analysis, particularly regarding the manner in which it annihilates autonomy and agency. Biju's experience as an undocumented migrant in New York illustrates the dehumanizing forces of global capitalism. He is rendered invisible and expendable, a condition that reflects Giorgio Agamben's concept of "bare life"—life stripped of political and ethical recognition, reduced to utility (Agamben 1998). Similarly, Judge Patel's colonial education implants a lasting self-loathing and cultural alienation, exposing the internalised hierarchies of empire that persist under globalisation. Both characters represent how postcolonial bodies are entangled in global networks of dispossession and control, mirroring Braidotti's (2013) emphasis on posthuman subjectivity as shaped by dispersion, hybridity, and precarity (2–4).

Desai's novel thus dismantles humanist notions of discrete, sovereign identity, instead presenting a world of interspecies entanglements, ecological co-dependencies, and destabilised subjectivities. Her portrayal of animals, landscapes, and decaying cultural forms reflects the post humanist idea that the human is always already in relation—with machines, with animals, with systems of capital and climate. The novel performs a literary equivalent to what Haraway terms "staying with the trouble" (2016)—being with the trouble of ensnared life and not going towards purity or mastery.

The Inheritance of Loss reimagines the human as neither exceptional nor apart, but as immersed within an ordinary, vulnerable world. With its attunement to ecophagic ruin, species mutability, and postcolonial trauma, the novel follows posthumanist theory in not being anthropocentric and in imagining a relational, interdependent ethics in terms of shared vulnerability and multispecies justice.

Arundhati Roy: Ecology, Caste, and Resistance:

Roy's *The God of Small Things* (1997) presents a fascinating counter to anthropocentric assumptions by bringing to the fore the active agencies of nature, animals, and nonhuman forces in the creation of human identity and experience. Nature in the novel is not backdrop but participant within—rivers, insects, trees, and weather patterns symbolically influence states of mind and choice. The river particularly is a signifier for spirituality, memory, and tragedy and signifies the impossibility of extricating human identity from nature. Roy's environmental and political critique—developed further in *Field Notes on Democracy*—resonates with post humanist concerns. Her criticism of the Indian state's attempts to "interlink" rivers by forcibly altering ecosystems ("tunneling through mountains and

forests... destroying deltas and estuaries") reveals how ecological devastation is entwined with human marginalisation, especially of Indigenous and rural communities (Roy, *Field Notes* 122–23).

Having rejected rigid human/nonhuman binaries, post humanist theory finds fertile ground in Roy's fiction (Braidotti 2–4; Wolfe xv). Her prose repeatedly draws metaphorical parallels between human and nonhuman life. Characters are described through animalistic imagery: Murlidharan, a disabled veteran, is a "naked, recessive ghost of a man... a defiance of human proportions" (Roy 21), suggesting a hybrid identity that disrupts fixed humanist norms. Similarly, Velutha and Ammu are rendered posthuman not through technology, but through caste, gender, and socio-political oppression that denies them "full" humanity (Spivak 308; Braidotti 44–47). Their liminal existences reflect how identity is relational, embodied, and vulnerable—central to posthuman ontology.

The novel's sonic and sensory element furthers its posthuman aesthetic. From "flies like iron filings creeping over the bodies" (Roy 47–48) to fish "watching" with "eyelidless eyes" (18), Roy grants agency to micro-life, collapsing the hierarchy between human and insect. Trees, rivers, and dragonflies are not inert but reactive and interwoven with human consciousness. The Meenachal River "calls" to the children: "The river was a god. It had hoarded all the spoils…" (41), attributing volition and memory to a nonhuman actor. This emphasis on multispecies entanglement has been widely interpreted through postcolonial ecocriticism, especially as a counter to capitalist and colonial logics that separate humans from nature (Huggan and Tiffin 5–6; Yasin 1.13). Roy's environmental acoustics—what Lobnik calls "acoustic ecology"—use the sonic presence of insects and rivers to recover silenced Indigenous histories and nonhuman agency (Lobnik 115–16, 125–30). These sensorial strategies reorient the narrative away from anthropocentric dominance and toward an immersive ecological awareness (Lobnik 118–19).

Haraway's cyborg and companion species theories find echoes in Roy's work. In "A Cyborg Manifesto", Haraway argues for relational identities that resist dualisms such as human/animal or male/female (Haraway, *Simians* 149–81). Similarly, Roy's characters and narrative structure emphasise hybridity and interdependence. Haraway's later focus on "becoming-with" (Haraway, *Companion* 15–16) is paralleled in Roy's affective interspecies relationships and eco-poetic imagination.

These posthumanist theorisations get extended in Roy's novel, *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* (2017). The story sees an interesting mesh of caste, gender, religion, ecological devastation, and political violence, with boundaries between human and nonhuman forms of existence getting dissolved. The graveyard refuge, the Jannat Guest House, serves as the physical and figurative space where species, classes, and ways of living occupy stratified ecologies. Nonhuman beings—vultures, bats, plants—are not symbolic decor but sentient presences. Their disappearance (e.g., vultures killed by diclofenac) critiques human-driven ecological collapse: "The old white-backed vultures... have been wiped out" (*Ministry*, n. pag.).

Animals lead the atmospheric shifts: "At magic hour... armies of flying foxes unhinge themselves from the Banyan trees... the crows come home" (*Ministry*, n. pag.). Such descriptions affirm the porousness between living, dead, human, and nonhuman realms "the borders between the living and the dead and between animals and humans are porous too" (Roy, *The Hindu* interview). Roy's intersex protagonist, Anjum, embodies posthuman

fluid identity. As a *hijra* (umbrella term for the third gender), she disrupts normative binaries and occupies liminal, non-normative bodily space—what Braidotti terms "becoming-other" (Braidotti 190). Her kinship with animals and her home in a graveyard reinforces that identity is relational and co-constituted by space, species, and material environments (Sunil Kumar 336, 341–42).

Nonhuman spaces in *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* are not mere setting but are agents of transformation. Graveyards grow like living organisms: "Graveyards sprang up... Tombstones grew out of the ground like young children's teeth" (Roy 314). These images challenge human exceptionalism and affirm Braidotti's concept of *zoe*-centered egalitarianism—valuing life in all forms (Braidotti 60). Roy's nonhuman characters mirror the marginalisation of caste-oppressed, queer, and stateless people. Her environmental critique is thus inseparable from her broader political ethics (Samiya and Gupta 1844–46). Moreover, the novel engages meaningfully with posthumanism that is technological, with themes of surveillance, drones, and digital control systems evoking the impersonal and dehumanised machinery of the modern state. Roy connects such machinery to both environmental devastation and human displacement (Khan et al. 1575).

Finally, by disrupting hierarchical positioning of species, colonial epistemologies, and normative identity categories, *The God of Small Things* and *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* demonstrate how enlightenment humanism is disrupted. Roy's posthumanism is not an outcome of technological enhancement or abstraction but of embodied, ecological, and socio-political entanglements. Her writing affirms human subjectivity as it emerges in its implication with land, water, plants, animals, insects, and minoritised others. Her demand is for decentred ethics—what Haraway describes as response-ability—for the caring of human and more-than-human life (Haraway, *Staying* 130).

Bapsi Sidhwa: Partition, Female Embodiment, and Nonhuman Witnesses:

Presenting human-nature bond as part of the larger narrative of Partition, Sidhwa's *Cracking India* (2007) does not just treat nature like a descriptive backdrop or a piece of the narrative. The land, the animals, and elements of nature are all participants engaged in the historical and emotional violence of 1947. The land is rendered a witness to the violence of Partition, rather than a background for human activity. Sidhwa's portrayal of animals—particularly elephants, dogs, and zoo animals—is employed to unveil the vulnerability and naivety of life under siege, and to symbolise loss and cultural memory. This representation adopts a posthumanist ethic, one that recognises nonhuman agents as beings that are both shaped by and responsive to human violence (Huggan and Tiffin 21–22).

Sidhwa stresses upon the interconnectedness between human and nonhuman life, challenges anthropocentric frameworks, and aligns with posthumanist rejoinders to the autonomous human subject. As Huggan and Tiffin opine, such literary tactics "disrupt the master binary of human/animal and foreground relational modes of being" (4–5). While *Cracking India* focuses on human trauma-most ostensibly in Lenny's youth-it interposes symbolic animal presences that collapse the distinction between ontology and metaphor. These include Lenny's encounters with her family dog, circus elephants, and Lahore Zoo animals, each offering emotional involvement and exposure between species.

One of the strongest symbols is most likely to be the lion cub, whose photograph represents a common site of psychic dislocation. To describe the caged and toothless animal

in Lenny's childhood memory, it reappears in dreams as a symbol of latent violence: "There he lies, the ferocious beast of my nightmares, looking toothless and innocent… lying in wait to spring, fully dentured, into my dreams" (7). Later, the imagery intensifies: "It's all very well to see them romp and mew, but in a year, they will roar their way into my nightmares and sink their fangs in me" (144). The nonhuman animal is here a site of human fear and memory, shaping Lenny's affective subjectivity. While these moments border on metaphor, they also resonate with posthumanist interests in the ways that animals affect and co-constitute human consciousness.

However, it is worth noting that *Cracking India* does not stage scenes of ontological hybridity or multispecies "becoming" the same way they are laid out in the theories of Haraway or Braidotti. The novel does not cross species lines to co-evolve, and the animal and nature imagery here is more symbolic—depicting innocence, incipient menace, or cultural fear—than performing an unambiguously posthuman entanglement. Nonetheless, Sidhwa's novel expands the ethical and affective horizon of Partition to the more-than-human world. The violence experienced by people is accompanied by environmental devastation and animal migration, indicating vulnerability to be shared across forms of life.

Through the imagery of land as a wounded body and animals as victims and symbols of historic dislocation, Sidhwa gestures toward a relational ontology, that is somewhat mediated by a metaphor. The elephants are not just background but invested symbols of socio-political dislocation, figuring in scenes of turmoil and exodus. Their silent movement through the city resonates with the ghostly, wounded movement of refugees—embodying an unspeakable solidarity between species (Huggan and Tiffin 22).

Though *Cracking India* does not foreground posthumanism in its more explicit theoretical forms, the novel is post humanist in sensibility: one that reads history as a multispecies project, and trauma as an ecological common. The novel interrupts anthropocentric narratives of Partition by placing at centre stage how environmental and animal life are implicated in human violence. Thus, Sidhwa contributes literarily to an ethics of the interlaced fates of human and nonhuman beings in colonial and postcolonial catastrophe.

Kamila Shamsie: Mapping War's Legacy Beyond Borders:

Shamsie's *Burnt Shadows* (2009) that deals with displacement, world war, and human–nonhuman interdependence, gives prominence to the disastrous impacts of such anthropogenic catastrophes as the atomic bombing of Nagasaki on nature and humans. By portraying the aftermath of nuclear destruction, deforestation, and environmental deterioration after war, Shamsie shows us the long-term devastation of ecosystems and human life in order to reveal how violent pasts impact both nonhuman and human worlds. The novel is set across several generations and geographies—from Hiroshima and Nagasaki to Delhi, Karachi, and New York, then Afghanistan post-9/11, all through intertwined individual and political plots with ecology at the forefront, all of which carry legacies of these histories. Hiroko's scars, seared by the bomb and imprinted with bird-shaped burns on her kimono, are a carnal map of historical violence and ecological trauma, recording the material entwinement of human life and location (Kiczkowski 130).

The paper "Ecocriticism and the Postcolonial Landscape: War, Displacement, and Environmental Devastation in Kamila Shamsie's *Burnt Shadows*" by Saima Yousaf Khan, Saman Salah, and Rubina Masum explores how the novel reveals the imbrication of

geopolitical violence and ecological catastrophe. The authors argue that Shamsie criticises nuclear war, deforestation, and forced displacement not only for their human toll but also for their apocalyptic effect on the environment and nonhuman life, thus cementing a post humanist conception of historical trauma etched onto landscapes and ecosystems.

Burnt Shadows decentres anthropocentrism by illustrating that human persons and societies are positioned in more expansive ecological, historical, and political forces—stretching from nuclear shadows to Partition's displacement and ecocide. The novel uncovers trauma as extending beyond human bodies to include battered lands, devastated environments, and a legacy of environmental devastation. Shamsie thereby implies a shared ecological vulnerability that defies human exceptionalism and attests to post humanist claims for entangled subjectivity. Hiroko's body merges with her home nation—the bombed Urakami Valley—to become inseparable from the landscape as "Urakami Valley has become her flesh. Her flesh has become Urakami Valley" (Shamsie 22). Her scars are inhuman markings—"the three charcoal-coloured bird-shaped burns on her back" and "the grotesque darkness below her shoulder blade" (73)—marking her body as a hybrid text of history, nature, and violence.

Nature itself is the spectacle of horror in the nuclear aftermath with a reptile emerging from the earth: "Hiroko looks down, sees a reptile crawling up the path… She understands now. The earth has already opened up, disgorged hell" (22). This explanation represents a sensory fusion of Hiroko's body with death and decay: "So much to learn. The feel of dead flesh. The smell – she has just discovered where the acrid smell comes from – of dead flesh" (22). Shamsie's presentation of Hiroko's scars—as personal and geopolitical memory—resonates with Levinasian ethics, whereby individual suffering becomes significant through compassion with other human beings' suffering. In the words of Levinas, "the just suffering in me for the unjustifiable suffering of the Other… opens upon suffering the ethical perspective of the inter human" ("Useless Suffering"). Hiroko's burnt body is a memory map in which environment and global trauma meet (13). The novel follows the stories of two families through a history of fault lines—Nagasaki, Partition India, post-Partition Pakistan, the Bangladesh conflict (1971), Soviet-occupied Afghanistan, post-9/11 New York, and the American occupations of Iraq and Afghanistan, all situated within these different, but interrelated, personal and communal histories.

Therefore, an ecocritical and postcolonial reading of the text draws out the ways in which nuclear radiation, Partition trenches, and Afghan battlefields generate space, bodies, and ecosystems, making violence and ecological trauma visible through the slow violence they unleash. Shamsie's novel also contributes to understanding historical trauma in a long arc and the inevitability of human suffering wrought by the environment and nonhuman others. By considering interrelatedness between human and nonhuman trauma, and border collapse through trauma, *Burnt Shadows* allows for a post humanist reading that suggests moving beyond anthropocentrism is possible in the shared experience of history, trauma, and survival.

Conclusion:

Through an analysis of the works of Kiran Desai, Arundhati Roy, Bapsi Sidhwa, and Kamila Shamsie with regard to posthumanism, one finds themes that can be discerned to be recurring. In doing so, these authors challenge the notion of nature, animals, and the environment as being receptive or passive objects. Rather, they present them as agents that

fully affect the lives, identities, and histories of humans. In investigating ecological ruin, colonialism, and caste supremacy, the texts demonstrate how human ideologies and human actions determine the nonhuman world and vice versa. The authors argue that social justice cannot be understood in isolation from environmental justice and subvert traditional divides that separate humans from animals, nature, and technology, and reveal how these spheres converge and depend upon each other. The texts included in this research demonstrate a posthumanist aversion to human exceptionalism, and portray a vision of a world in which nonhuman worlds (earth, plants, animals) are equal players within a larger web of life. The authors do not offer posthumanist thought as an opaque, philosophical stance or abstraction, but rather as a narrative truth that is embodied by Desai, Roy, Sidhwa, and Shamsie as a story. The authors disrupt anthropocentric forms by taking up the entwining of human existence with animals, landscapes, and nonhuman forces as a primary concern in their work.

The authors' texts exemplify Haraway's "staying with the trouble," an insistence on working through the sticky and tangled relations between species, habitats, and histories. *The Inheritance of Loss* removes the distinction between human subjectivity and nature through the use of foggy landscapes and sighting of wildlife to evoke migrations of people, the collapse of colonialism, and ecological vulnerability. In line with Braidotti's zoe-based conception of subjectivity, *The God of Small Things* presents nature as a living force, even when it supports caste oppression, gender-based violence, and environmental degradation as a background and not a background per se. *Cracking India* portrays the Partition as both a human and environmental disaster, with animals and landscapes testifying to trauma, demonstrating Wolfe's claim that ethics must extend beyond the human. In *Burnt Shadows*, Hiroko's scarred body serves as a geopolitical and ecological map— a mapping of individual trauma to historical trauma in the Levina sian sense that meaning emerges out of suffering for the other.

Moreover, these authors construct subjectivity as permeable and open to future becoming, where identity and agency are co-constructed in relation to nonhuman worlds, continuously. This reframing represents a South Asian feminist revision to posthumanism, one that is meaningful in lived histories, where political disruption is a part of the ecological relation. The authors suggest an ethics of relationality that deflects dualisms, decentres the human, and conjures the ethical and political promise of a relational justice between species.

Works Cited:

1. Abdullah, Samiya, and Gupta, Preeti Pankaj. "Intersections of Environmental Degradation and Marginalized Bodies in Urban India: A Study of *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* by Arundhati Roy." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, vol. 30, no. 11, 2024, pp. 1843–1847.
2. Agamben, Giorgio. *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*. Stanford University Press, 1998.
3. Alessandrini, Anthony C. "Postcolonial Traces and the Afterlives of Empire in Kamila Shamsie's *Burnt Shadows*." *Wasafiri*, vol. 27, no. 3, 2012, pp. 132–38. *Taylor & Francis Online*, https://doi.org/10.1080/02690055.2012.702614.
4. Badmington, Neil. "Theorizing Posthumanism." *Cultural Critique*, no. 53, 2003, pp. 10–27. *JSTOR*, www.jstor.org/stable/1354622.

5. Bennett, Jane. *Vibrant Matter: A Political Ecology of Things*. Duke University Press, 2010.
6. Braidotti, Rosi. *The Posthuman*. Polity Press, 2013.
7. DeLoughrey, Elizabeth, and George B. Handley, editors. *Postcolonial Ecologies: Literatures of the Environment*. Oxford University Press, 2011.
8. Derrida, Jacques. *The Animal That Therefore I Am*. Edited by Marie-Louise Mallet, translated by David Wills, Fordham University Press, 2008.
9. Desai, Kiran. *The Inheritance of Loss*. Grove Press, 2006.
10. Haraway, Donna J. "A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-Feminism in the Late 20th Century". *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*. Routledge, 1991.
11. ---. *Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Duke University Press, 2016.
12. ---. *The Companion Species Manifesto: Dogs, People, and Significant Otherness*. Prickly Paradigm Press, 2003.
13. Hayles, N. Katherine. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. University of Chicago Press, 1999.
14. Hassan, Ihab. "Prometheus as Performer: Toward a Posthuman Culture?" *Performance in Postmodern Culture*, *The Georgia Review*, 1977, pp. 830–50.
15. Huggan, Graham, and Helen Tiffin. *Postcolonial Ecocriticism: Literature, Animals, Environment*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2015.
16. Khan, Abbas, Ejaz Ur Rahman, Muhammad Haris, and Hafiza Rahmeen Jan. "Posthumanism and the Subaltern: Reimagining Marginalized Voices in *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*." *International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 4, Oct.–Dec. 2023, pp. 1569–77.
17. Khan, Saima Yousaf**,** Saman Salah**,** and Rubina Masum. "Ecocriticism and the Postcolonial Landscape: War, Displacement, and Environmental Devastation in Kamila Shamsie's *Burnt Shadows*." *Journal of Arts and Linguistics Studies*, vol. 3, no. 1, Feb. 2025, pp. 307–23, jals.miard.org/article/view/223
18. Kiczkowski, Adriana. "'Glocalization' in Post 9/11 Literature: *Burnt Shadows* by Kamila Shamsie." *Journal of English Studies*, vol. 14, 2016, pp. 125–136.
19. Kumar, Sunil. "Transecology as 'Lines of Flight' in Arundhati Roy's *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*." *South Asian Review*, vol. 45, no. 3, 2023, pp. 336–347.
20. Lobnik, Mirja. "Sounding Ecologies in Arundhati Roy's *The God of Small Things*." *Modern Fiction Studies*, vol. 62, no. 1, Spring 2016, pp. 115–135.
21. Lollini, Massimo. "Humanisms, Posthumanisms, and Neohumanisms: Introductory Essay." *Annali d'Italianistica*, vol. 26, 2008, pp. 13–23. *JSTOR*, www.jstor.org/stable/24016270.
22. Luhmann, Niklas. *Social Systems*. Translated by John Bednarz Jr. and Dirk Baecker, Stanford University Press, 1995.
23. Mukherjee, Upamanyu Pablo. *Postcolonial Environments: Nature, Culture and the Contemporary Indian Novel in English*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
24. Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard University Press, 2011.

25. Roy, Arundhati. *Field Notes on Democracy: Listening to Grasshoppers*. Haymarket Books, 2015.
26. ---. *The God of Small Things*. IndiaInk, 1997.
27. ---. *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*. Anchor Books, 2017.
28. ---. "We Are All Living in a Graveyard of Sorts." *Frontline*, interview by Ziya Us Salam, *The Hindu*, 19 July 2017, https://frontline.thehindu.com/arts-and-culture/literature/we-are-all-living-in-a-graveyard-of-sorts/article23595960.ece. Accessed 19 January 2025.
29. Sayers, Janet, Lydia Martin, and Emma Bell. "Posthuman Affirmative Business Ethics: Reimagining Human–Animal Relations Through Speculative Fiction." *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 170, no. 3, 2021, pp. 421–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-021-04801-8.
30. Schmeink, Lars. "The Anthropocene, the Posthuman, and the Animal: Biopunk Ethics and Questions of Becoming." *Biopunk Dystopias: Genetic Engineering, Society and Science Fiction*, Liverpool University Press, 2016, pp. 75–98. https://doi.org/10.5949/liverpool/9781781383766.003.0003.
31. Setu Magazine. "Rereading 'The Inheritance of Loss': Identity Crisis in the Colonial, (Post)colonial and the (Neo)colonial Worlds." *Setu*, 2020.
32. Shamsie, Kamila. *Burnt Shadows*. Anchor Books, 2009.
33. Shrestha, Ravi Kumar. "Diasporic Consciousness in Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss*." *Patan Pragya*, vol. 12, no. 2, 2023, pp. 75–84.
34. Sidhwa, Bapsi. *Cracking India* (also published as *Ice Candy Man*). Flamingo, 1997.
35. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Colonial Discourse and Post Colonial Theory*, edited by Patrick Williams and Laura Chrisman, Columbia University Press, 1994, pp. 66–111.
36. Thoughtful Critic. "*The Inheritance of Loss* by Kiran Desai: A Comprehensive Book Review." *Thoughtful Critic Book Reviews*. https://thoughtfulcritic.com/reviews/novel/the-inheritance-of-loss-by-kiran-desai-a-comprehensive-book-review.
37. Wolfe, Cary. *What Is Posthumanism?* University of Minnesota Press, 2010.
38. Yasin, Ghulam. "Othering Nature in Arundhati Roy's *The God of Small Things*: A Postcolonial Ecocritical Study." *Interlitteraria*, vol. 29, no. 1, Oct. 2024, pp. 188–99. https://doi.org/10.12697/IL.2024.29.1.13.
39. Zapf, Hubert. "Posthumanism or Ecohumanism? Environmental Studies in the Anthropocene." *Journal of Ecohumanism*, vol. 1, no. 1, Jan. 2022, pp. 5–17. https://doi.org/10.33182/joe.v1i1.1743.